



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,353	11/23/2001	Huang-Tsun Chen	MR3029-5	1974	
4586	7590 08/04/2004		EXAM	INER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			DHARIA, PRABODH M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2673		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/990,353	CHEN, HUANG-TSUN			
haveory housen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Prabodh M Dharia	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-17</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		÷			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/990,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 2673

1. Status: Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on July 1, 2004, under request for reconsideration, which have been placed of record in the file. Claims 1-17 are pending in this action.

## Response to Arguments After final Office Action

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 07-01-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues the cited references fails to teach the input means, photosensitive means, and controlling means.

Examiner disagrees, as the cited reference of Hyatt teaches input means (Col. 7, Lines 48-50), photosensitive means (Col. 108, Lines 42-55) and controlling means (Col. 108, Lines 56-66).

Applicant argues Cited references fail to teach a received setting value transmitted from inputting means and a plurality of variation values from photosensitive means, that is the controlling means is coupled with the inputting means and the photosensitive means and can feed back the plurality of variation values in order.

Examiner argues the independent claims 1,9 do not recite above argument.

Applicant argues Tosaki fails to teach setting value can be set via an inputting button and Tosaki reference is not analogus.

Examiner disagrees, as Tosaki teaches said setting value can be set via an inputting button by manual (Col. 7, Lines 59 to Col. 8, Line 4, Col. 3, Line 66 to Col. 4, Line 35).

Application/Control Number: 09/990,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

In response to applicant's argument that Tosaki (5,844,530) is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Tosaki teaches said setting value can be set via an inputting button by manual (Col. 7, Lines 59 to Col. 8, Line 4, Col. 3, Line 66 to Col. 4, Line 35) and Tosaki teaches head mounted display.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is informed that all of the other additional cited references either anticipate or render the claims obvious. In order to not to be repetitive and exhaustive, the examiner did draft additional rejection based on those references.

## Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M Dharia whose telephone number is 703-605-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-3054938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,353

Art Unit: 2673

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2673

August 3, 2004

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4